

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RICHARD HARBUS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

RADAR ONLINE LLC

Defendant.

Docket No. 1:19-cv-9457

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Richard Harbus (“Harbus” or “Plaintiff”) by and through his undersigned counsel, as and for his Complaint against Defendant Radar Online LLC (“Radar Online” or “Defendant”) hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant’s unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of Captain Richard Phillips, owned and registered by Harbus, a New York based professional photographer. Accordingly, Harbus seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides and/or transacts business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

5. Harbus is a professional photographer in the business of licensing his photographs to online and print media for a fee having a usual place of business at 119 Tappan Landing Road, Tarrytown, New York 10591.

6. Upon information and belief, Radar Online is a domestic limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 4 New York Plaza, New York, New York 10004. Upon information and belief, Radar Online is registered with the New York State Department of Corporations to do business in New York. At all times material hereto, Radar Online has owned and operated a website at the URL: www.RadarOnline.com (the “Website”).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background and Plaintiff’s Ownership of the Photograph

7. Harbus photographed Captain Richard Phillips (the “Photograph”). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. Harbus then licensed the Photograph to New York Daily News. The New York Daily News ran an article that featured the Photograph titled *Real ‘Captain Phillips’ met Tom Hanks, jokes he had to get ‘better-looking’ to play him*. See URL: <https://www.nydailynews.com/entertainment/tv-movies/real-captain-phillips-met-tom-hanks-article-1.1477471>. Harbus’s name was featured in a gutter credit identifying him as the

photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Harbus is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph was registered with United States Copyright Office and was given Copyright Registration Number VA 2-094-612.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

11. Radar Online ran an article on the Website entitled *Inside An American 'Torture' Chamber: Somali Pirate Who Hijacked Captain Phillips Speaks Out From Prison*. See: <https://radaronline.com/exclusives/2013/11/inside-an-american-torture-chamber-somali-pirate-who-hijacked-captain-phillips-speaks-out-from-prison/>. The article featured the Photograph. A screenshot of the Photograph on the Website is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

12. Radar Online did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Radar Online have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT)
(17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-12 above.

14. Radar Online infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Radar Online is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

15. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

16. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Radar Online have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

17. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and Defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST
DEFENDANT
(17 U.S.C. § 1202)

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-17 above.

19. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Defendant copied the Photograph from New York Post which contained a gutter credit underneath the Photograph stating, "Richard Harbus" and placed it on its Website without the gutter credit.

20. Upon information and belief, Radar Online intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.

21. The conduct of Radar Online violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

22. Upon information and belief, Radar Online's falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.

23. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Radar Online intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph. Radar Online also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph.

24. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Radar Online as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Radar Online the damages, that he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Radar Online because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.

25. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Radar Online statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

1. That Defendant Radar Online be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
2. The Defendant Radar Online be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.
3. That Plaintiff be awarded Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph;

4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:
 - a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at least \$2,500 and up to \$ 25,000 for each instance of false copyright management information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);
5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;
6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b);
7. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages for copyright infringement;
8. That Plaintiff be awarded attorney's fees and costs;
9. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and
10. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York
October 12, 2019

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/Richard Liebowitz
Richard P. Liebowitz
11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305
Valley Stream, NY 11580
Tel: (516) 233-1660

RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Richard Harbus